# Key Risks (refer to note 1)

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
1.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Business Resilience – Sub-risk  IT resilience  Systems not joined up and connected Strategic Information technology framework not implemented effectively Electronic information storage capacity Lack of top tier response plans ISP version update to the infrastructure of the internet will have to move over to a new system, IPv6 previous versions not being compatible  2012 Olympics delivery risks to H & F	If an event occurs  Customers face delays in service provision  Time to recover power and IT Services could be between 6 & 8 weeks  Loss of information  Service interruption  Loss of productivity  Non compliance with statutory duties - indirectly  Increased cost of resurrecting services ( only partially insurable)  Threat to life - indirectly  Wasted resources & staff duplication in recovery phase  Cost of additional data storage capacity  Impact on service delivery due to potential of a local outbreak affecting staff and the public  Delays/ interruption to public transport system due to investment programmes in infrastructure  Skills and resource shortage leading to commencement of the games  Potential threat of a terrorist attack	<ul> <li>Corporate Incident Management Procedures incorporate Business Continuity</li> <li>Training has been delivered to local service plan leaders</li> <li>A corporate service resilience group has been formed and meet periodically</li> <li>Assistant Directors of Resources have been appointed as Departmental contact leads</li> <li>Local Service Plans have been compiled, reviewed and refreshed and quality checked by Emergency Services</li> <li>H &amp; F Bridge Partnership have submitted a Local Service Recovery Plan and has worked with the council to undertake a formal risk assessment, a major incident process has been established by HFBP as part of the Service Desk Manual</li> <li>Data recovery is insured under the councils corporate insurance package ( but limited )</li> <li>Terrorism insurance cover</li> <li>A threat assessment has been compiled</li> <li>Some ITC service has been moved to East London</li> </ul>	Business Continuity Audit report 2008/09 ( Limited Assurance ) in, ICT Disaster recovery provisions Audit report 2009/10 ( Nil Assurance ) Data storage & back up audit Audit report 2009/10 ( Substantial assurance )  EMT, Audit and Pension Committee	3	4	12	Medium	Jane West ( Insurance & H F Bridge Partnership contract monitoring ) Lyn Carpenter ( Corporate Business Continuity )	Review April 2011

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
		Terrorist attack	Service interruption     Property loss or damage     Injury or harm	The Business Continuity (BC) project involves provision of IT BC for approximately 30 First Order applications as identified by H&F. The data will be replicated from the primary data centre at East London to the secondary site at HTH. Additionally, there will be local network switch resilience within HTH; resilience for the infrastructure elements such as profiles, home folders and printing; plus annual tests of parts of the BC solution.  User acceptance testing of the business continuity arrangements will take place over Jan – Feb 2011  NOTE Please refer to BCP Risk Assessment for highlighted risks and controls							
2.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Sub-risks Projects do not consider enough time to mobilise in the event services are awarded to the private sector Project implementation is delayed due to protracted discussions regarding pensions transfer The risk of challenge to contract awards may increase during the harsher economic climate Large scale high risk high return projects are not led by a qualified or experienced project manager. Too many projects are	Customers needs and expectations are not fully met when projects are delivered Benefits of investment in creating toolkit not realised Threat of overspend on projects Benefits are not fully realised Delays in mobilisation of services through revised contracts	Project Management toolkit Training of Officers has being delivered and is ongoing Transformation Office in Finance & Corporate Services Department acts as a repository for project information and reports to EMT but does not ensure compliance with any toolkit Senior Managers have all been briefed about the Project Toolkit Toolkit is available on desktop PC's Monthly transformation reporting to EMT (dashboard) Competition Board monitor aspects of project	Corporate Programme & project management audited in 2009 draft report issued ( Limited Assurance )  Competition Board  Audit Commission review of selected contract management scheduled 2010	3	3	9	Low	Jane West lead – All Directors	Review May 2011

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
		undertaken with unrealistic or unachievable targets • Successful delivery of the World Class Financial Management Programme		management compliance Procedures for TUPE transfer have been included in project management instructions Programme and Portfolio governance arrangements are being formalised Lessons learned report	Internal Audit review of specific contracts under 2009/10 Audit Plan and of Use of Consultants ( Nil Assurance ) EMT, Pension and Audit Committee						
3.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services, Providing a top quality education for all, Tackling crime & anti-social behaviour, A cleaner greener borough,	Managing statutory duty  Sub-risks Non-compliance with laws and regulations  Breach of duty of care	Non compliance may result in prosecution or a Corporate Manslaughter charge Financial compensation may be claimed Injury or death to a member of the public or employee A breach of information security protocols may result in fines, harm to reputation and personal liability of Directors Inadequate level of service Poor satisfaction with	Nigel Pallace appointed lead Sponsor on EMT for Health & Safety Pro-active Health, Safety and Welfare culture across the council Contractors are managed within CHAS regime Insurance cover is in place in the event of a claim for breach of duty of care and in respect of financial claims Legislative changes are adopted and reflected in amendment to the council's	Internal Audit undertook an Audit of this in 2008/09 and a follow up is planned  Health & Safety Internal Audit undertaken 2009/10 demonstrated improvements and substantial assurance	4	4	16	High	Geoff Alltimes	Review May 2011
	Promoting home ownership.	Departmental assurances	statutory services  • Potential claims involving failures in Social Care ( Stamford House)	constitution, budget allocation through MTFS ( Now unified business & financial planning process )  Training and guidance packages  Corporate Safety Committee  Briefings for Senior Managers on Corporate Manslaughter have been undertaken  Health & Safety week promoted the theme of risk	Annual Assurance process  Assurance required that actions are being taken to ensure compliance with the law and						

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
		Corporate Parenting		assessment  Health & Safety guidelines have been reviewed, refreshed and communicated  Local Safeguarding Childerns Board, Unannounced Safeguarding Inspection, Ofsted, Local and London Child Protection Procedures	regulations  EMT, Audit and Pension Committee						
5.	Delivering value for money	Managing budgets  Sub-risks  Austere financial settlement from government is not favourable. The council is seen as a floor authority. Impact of a double dip recession and cascade effect on social budgets * link to revenue forecast Demand led services may occur mid year resulting in unanticipated additional costs HMRC VAT claims regarding partnering activities Grant application is incorrectly calculated Unplanned growth Failure to achieve VFM Accruals & reconciliations	Pressure on the authority to manage overspends Departments have to manage cost pressures Pressure to meet target savings and Administrations commitment to cut Council Tax HMRC recover VAT from the council affecting cash flow Repayment of Grants CEDAR 5.1 will no longer be supported by the product supplier	Medium Term Financial Strategy and Business Planning Processes have been combined and is remodelled     MTFS Officer & Member Challenge     Efficiency programme management in place identifying statutory v discretionary services     Leader's monthly monitoring reports     Financial Strategy Board (FSB) periodically evaluates the effectiveness of the financial management arrangements     Partnership activity now includes a VAT trace and has been raised at FSB	Annual Audit Letter  Select Committees are given the opportunity to fully scrutinise budgets during January.  Assurance required that complete and accurate accounting records are being maintained *	4	4	16	High	Jane West lead – All Directors	Review April 2011
		Planned savings not implemented Creditworthiness of some contractors may be downgraded as a result of the economic downturn		Grant Claims & returns record is tracked at FSB Monthly corporate revenue & capital monitoring to cabinet Reports to the Leader identify where spend levels exceed a	EMT, Audit and Pension Committee						

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
		Increase in social welfare services as a result of the economic downturn may impact on projected spend.     Insufficient budgetary provision and/or budgetary under/overspend *     Incomplete/inaccurate accounting records linked to the World Class Financial Management Programme     Upgrade of CEDAR Financial System to Version 5.3 from 5.1		tolerable level during the year Credit check of contractors is being undertaken through the Competition Board Disposal of Assets CEDAR Planning and preparation work will begin 7 months before the start of the actual implementation, so as to ensure that there is sufficient time to carry out work thoroughly. This timescale also includes slippage time of two months, in case of unforeseen complications.	Cabinet Members Decision report on CEDAR upgrade						
6.	Putting residents first, Setting the framework for a healthy borough	Successful partnerships & Major Contracts Sub-risks  • Area based grant has been clawed back • Partnering activity with other boroughs and the NHS may blur the lines of responsibility, accountability or liability in the event of service failure • Plans to remodel the PCT's and delivery of health services through GP's as per the White Paper – Liberating the NHS • Re-integration of H & F Homes	Joint objectives are not met     Community expectations are not met     Relationship deteriorates     Threat of overspends and underspend	Governance arrangements are in place     Performance monitoring reports reported to Select Cttee's     Area based grant exit strategy is funded short term through contingency / reserves     H & F Bridge Performance Monitoring	H & F Bridge Partnership Assurance process H & F Homes Assurance process PCT are Audited by the Audit Commission Audit of H & F Homes Contract Management undertaken in 2008/09 EMT, Audit and Pension Committee	4	3	12	Medium	Geoff Alltimes	Review April 2011
7.	Delivering value for	Maintaining reputation and service standards	Threat to the status of the council	Combined Business Planning     & MTFS processes	Cabinet Ofsted, Care	4	3	12	Medium	All Directors	Review

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
	money	Sub-risks     Multiplicity of external forces and initiatives      Breach of Officer or Member code of conduct      Inappropriate Data released      Poor data quality internally or from third parties, breaches of information protocols, information erroneously sent to third parties.      Auto forwarding of information ( Information control and threat of leakage )	Failure to deliver plans & savings.     Ability to effectively lead and resource the transformation agenda is diminished     Service delivery deteriorates     Potential adverse media reporting      Potential adverse media reporting      Quality and integrity of data held in support of Performance Management & Financial systems leads to under or over estimation	Business Planning is part of the performance management competencies Risk registers have been developed for all departments and divisions Annual review of corporate governance arrangements conducted by Internal Audit Performance statistics are scrutinised by Select Committee's, EMT & DMT's Corvu Performance Management System is able to pick up anomalies Data Quality Training	Quality Commission, Annual Audit letter  EMT, Audit and Pension Committee					Group	April 2011
8.	Delivering value for money	Managing fraud (Internal & External)  Sub-risks Misappropriation of assets *	Loss of reputation     Financial loss     Adverse regulatory /audit report     Inadequately resourced fraud unit	Literature and training has been delivered to all levels of the authority     Information and guidance has been published on the corporate intranet     Awareness survey has been undertaken     A Corporate Fraud Service has been established	Audit Committee receive quarterly reports on Fraud  Deloitte Fraud Survey 2008  Assurance required that	2	3	6	Low	Jane West lead – All Directors	Review April 2011

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
				Level of fraud is being tracked through FSB     Close working relationship is established with the Police     Fraud risks being integrated into risk registers     CAFS team now use a risk assessment to assist in targeting and workload prioritisation     Revised risk & assurance register produced May 2011	assets are safeguarded EMT, Audit and Pension Committee						
9.	Delivering value for money	Right staff not available for this work due to increasing workloads while also downsizing and restructuring.	Potential internal uncertainty re: staff morale     Change consumes more resource than VFM/efficiency gains realise      Uncertainty leads to low staff morale and lower productivity.	Effective communications programme     Staff Survey undertaken in 2009 and follow up actions are being delivered     Career development discussions     Revised sections in Business Planning document inc. Equalities & Diversity and     Smartworking	Staff survey, Corporate Workforce Group  EMT, Audit and Pension Committee	3	3	12	Low	Jane West	Review April 2011
10.	Putting residents first	Managing the Business Objectives (publics needs and expectations)  Sub-risks  • A successor integrated financial and business planning process is not delivered	The Public or section of the public may not receive the service that they need or to the quality they expect Reputation of the service may be affected Regeneration of Shepherds Bush Market and Former Library and wider Regen  Services are delivered in an unplanned way Services start to do their own thing Maverick decisions Inconsistencies in service delivery start to emerge Lack of transparency Duplication of effort	Robust Business Planning regime revised for 10-12 incorporating fully the Medium Term Financial Strategy     Performance monitoring and feedback through local media     Customer experience and satisfaction surveys  Organisational Development in conjunction with Deloitte's have undertaken a review of the Business Planning process	Cabinet Members and Scrutiny Cttee review performance Ofsted, Care Quality Commission	3	3	9	Low	All Directors	Review April 2011

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
			Communication of objectives and values is lost     Target and Objective setting is diminished reducing the effectiveness of the performance management regime for officers								
11.	Delivering value for money	Market Testing of Services ( refer to Competition Board Roadmap )	Increase in threat of legal challenge on contract awards  Officers time away from other projects  Timescale of project is tight  Insufficient numbers of Officers designated to the project  Benefits are not realised  Data Quality (Accuracy, timeliness of information) results in variation to original contract spec	Consultation with other boroughs Project managing the process Separation or joining of projects to maximise benefit potential Realistic timetables agreed and reviewed at Competition Board Market Testing progress report to EMT Programme & Project Management – Risk Logs being maintained, periodic risk reviews	Competition Board, Transformation Board, EMT, Audit review conducted for Use of Contractors	3	3	9	Low	All Directors	Review April 2011
12.		Scrutiny of Public Health Service	Department of Health is creating a governing body ( Public Health England ) where a joint appointment of a Director with the Council — would be necessary. Currently the appointment is jointly with the NHS trust     Maintaining an audit trail of financial expenditure     Monitoring of financial spend against performance targets to achieve financial credit or top ups     Mayor of London seeks increased responsibility for some Public Health work areas in competition to Local Authorities that could reduce the amount allocated to the Council	Director of Public Health attends Housing, Health and Adult Social Care Select Committee Dedicated officers implementing the setting up of a Health & Well Being Board Pilot council before full delivery which is due ( start April 1st 2013) HM Government Healthy Lives Healthy People Nov 2010 Joint meetings with K & C & Westminster Officer meetings with Department of Health	ЕМТ	3	3	9	Low	Geoff Alltimes	Review April 2011

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
			Setting up a Health and Wellbeing Board attendees would need to include Councillors and managing their time demands Three Boroughs merged services may result in functions being delivered to support the new responsibilities jointly  LBHF currently jointly fund the Director of Public Health post, RBKC don't fund Westminster to jointly fund Deprivation statistics could affect the distribution of financial settlement unevenly Public Health budgets will be ring fenced however local authorities seek unringfencing of the monies Commissioning of services responsibilities for some health inequalities (healthly eating, smoking cessation, immunisation, screening, air pollution, drugs and alcohol, teenage pregnancy) Provision of audit and resilience services i.e. managing environmental hazards and emergency planning								
OPPC	ORTUNITY RIS										
1.	Delivering high quality, value for	Managing Human Resources Sub-risks				3	3	9	Low	Geoff Alltimes lead — All Directors	Review July 2010
	money public services	Integration of services with NHS Hammersmith & Fulham	Key staff retention     HR protocol has been     agreed for officers who work     with the PCT	HR team has been centralised and self service is being rolled out     Performance reports are	Business Planning, Corporate Workforce Group						

Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
			provided on staffing to FSB, EMT and are reported to Scrutiny Committees						·	
Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Merging of education services with Westminster Council	Savings due to removal of duplication across the councils	Report to Cabinet 10-01-2011 updated members on progress including the establishment of 1.A joint commissioning unit and the establishment of an arm's length delivery unit for education services across the three LAs by September 2012, with an interim merged service in place for the new academic year in September 2011. 2. For the exploration, in the second phase, of possible different models for the delivery of services - options may include market testing or a social enterprise. 3. That agreement be given for the development of shared provision for the Local Children's Safeguarding Board, Fostering and Adoption services and Youth Offending services by September 2011, subject to agreement by WCC and RBKC Councils. 4. With a view to the implementation in line with these timescales, that the Director of Children's Services be authorised to: i) reach agreement with fellow Directors of Children's Services on reorganisation proposals on a service by service or part service basis, with a view to agreeing the future scope of such services: management	Cabinet	2	4	8	Low	Andrew Christie	Review April 2011
	Delivering high quality, value for money public	Delivering high quality, value for money public  Merging of education services with Westminster Council	Delivering high quality, value for money public  Delivering high quality, value for money public  Delivering here are a savings due to removal of duplication across the councils	Delivering high quality, value for money public services with Westminster Councils  Merging of education services with Westminster Councils  Savings due to removal of duplication across the councils  Report to Cabinet 10-01-2011 updated members on progress including the establishment of 1.A joint commissioning unit and the establishment of an arm's length delivery unit for education services across the three LAs by September 2012, with an interim merged service in place for the new academic year in September 2011.  2. For the exploration, in the second phase, of possible different models for the delivery of services - options may include market testing or a social enterprise.  3. That agreement be given for the development of shared provision for the Local Children's Safeguarding Board, Fostering and Adoption services by September 2011, subject to agreement by WCC and RBKC Councils.  4. With a view to the implementation in line with these timescales, that the Director of Children's Services on reorganisation proposals on a service by service or part service basis, with a view to agreeing the future scope of such	Priorities    Delivering high quality, value for money public services   Safeguarding Board, Fostering and Adoption services with Westminster Council	Priorities   Pri	Priorities    Merging of education services with Westminster Council	Priorities    Merging of education   Several Priorities   Merging of education   Several Priorities   Priorit	Priorities    Merging of education starting to FSB, EMT-and are reported to Scrutiny Committees   Savings due to removal of updated members on progress including the establishment of an arm's length delivery unit for education services with Westminster Councils   Savings due to removal of duplication across the councils   Cabinet to Cabinet to Council   Cabinet to Cabi	Delivering high region of education services with Westminster quality, Central terms of council services with Westminster duality control to services with Westminster duality council services access the three LAs by council services with Westminster duality council services access the three LAs by council services access the three delivery of services access the services access the three delivery of services access the services access to three delivery of services access the services

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
				structures for such services; the advisability of harmonising terms and conditions across boroughs; and the implementation of a joint commissioning strategy; ii) consult with affected staff and unions on the basis that any sharing of services will initially take place by affected staff either being seconded to work with staff at other boroughs or will be transferred to the						Group	
				employment of a host borough depending on the detail of the agreement to be reached with other boroughs on a service by service or part service basis; iii) implement the sharing of the services to agree the terms of any secondment either to or from the							
				Council; to agree any necessary changes to staffing structures; and to authorise any resulting redundancies in accordance with the Council's usual procedures and to do everything necessary to give effect to the above.  5. That it is agreed that the implementation of those							
				implementation of these proposals and any future proposals in relation to Children's Services be aligned with the requirements and timescales for the wider development of shared services across the three LAs.							
2.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Merging of services with Westminster& RB Kensington and Chelsea	Savings due to removal of duplication across the council	Review of corporate and back office functions Review of opportunities with contracts Risk Register compiled and is being presented to the Programme Board	Cabinet	2	4	8	Low	All Directors	April 2011

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
3.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Regeneration of Shepherds Bush Market and former Shepherds Bush Library	Community benefits through improved market area, social housing and use of buildings	Section 106 possible funding and partnering with developer over scheme	Cabinet	2	4	8	Low		April 2011
4.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Re-integration of H & F Homes	Savings due to the removal of duplication in back office functions  There will be some immediate savings of circa £700k that flow from the integration of the ALMO as a result of the deletion of vacant posts, which would otherwise be duplicated in the new structure, and the elimination of agency workers and contractors to whom TUPE does not apply.	Consultation exercise has demonstrated public opinion to re-integrate and a report recommending re-integration presented to Cabinet 10-01-2011	Cabinet Internal Audit review of Integration April 2011 Draft Substantial Assurance	2	4	8	Low		April 2011
		There is an increased risk that staff will continue to apply procedures from the ALMO.	This may lead to key management tasks not being undertaken due to confusion over responsibilities	Briefings or training sessions are provided to line managers							
		Where the HF Homes risk management framework is not effectively integrated into the Council's framework, this may lead to key risks being lost in the integration or duplication of effort where	A formal action plan for integrating the HF Homes risk management framework within the Council's framework should be established.  The plan should include but not be limited to:								
		the same risk appears on multiple registers or against multiple risk owners.	Adapting risk register templates;								
			Identification of risk     owners within the     Housing and     Regeneration     Department;								

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer – Group	Review
			Reporting procedure for risks and their mitigation;  Ensuring that risks are not lost or duplicated; and  Appointing a Risk Management representative for the department.  The Housing and Regeneration Department should also appoint a representative to the Corporate Performance Group.  Where a post integration communication strategy and channels of communication are not established, there is an increased risk that staff will not fully engage in the integration process. This may impact on the morale of staff from both HF Homes and the Council.	Representative of the department has been invited to attend future Corporate Performance Group Meetings  Formal post-integration communication channels should be established to secure staff buy-in into the integration.  The communication channels should enable staff to express concerns and seek advice on any issues in respect of them adapting the Council's working practices and culture.							
5.	Delivering high quality, value for money public services	Regeneration of King Street and Civic Offices	The Town Hall extension has come to the end of its life and needs to either be demolished or refurbished. An estimated cost of around £18m in temporarily accommodating staff through a relocation to facilitate repairs  New office accommodation at no cost is being provided in exchange for land  A new modern building is also expected to save around £150,000 in energy costs	Planning Committee and team independence Public consultation The council's advisers, Cushman & Wakefield, ran a competition for development of the existing site – which also includes the council car park on Nigel Playfair Avenue. The competition was based on which scheme delivers the best value for money to the borough's taxpayers, the best opportunity to regenerate this run-down part of King Street and the least disruption to local residents.	Cabinet	3	5	15	Medium		April 2011

No.	Corporate Priorities	Risk	Consequence	Identified Control	Assurance	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Exposure = L x I	Risk Rating	Responsible Officer –	Review
			Jobs will be created in King Street  A new community-sized supermarket and a range of new restaurants and other retailers, alongside a council 'One Stop Shop', will draw more people down King Street and encourage more investment in the area  Successful redevelopment would enable the council to terminate contracts for various costly leased buildings around the borough savings around £2 million a year.	Public exhibition  Planning documents are available to view on the council's website  A planning application to redevelop the area around Hammersmith Town Hall was submitted to Hammersmith & Fulham (H&F) Council on Friday (29 October).  The application from King Street Developments Ltd (KSD) will trigger a new round of consultation as the council, now acting as local planning authority, consults extensively with residents, amenity groups and other interested parties.  Information from local amenity groups has been passed to planning officers.  The Leader of the Council has attended a Save or Skyline meeting  The Leader of the Council wrote to prominent amenity societies to make the case for regeneration following concerns from some groups  An independent financial assessment from PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) on the viability of the proposals						Group	

Note 1. All key risks have been extracted from( but not limited to) a number of sources for analysis by the Corporate Management Team. The sources include;

- Previous Corporate Risk Register
  Benchmarking with other Local Authorities on Identified Risks
  Information identified from Departmental Risk Registers
- iii.
- Officers Knowledge and experience iv.
- The Office of Government Commerce Project Risk Management Handbook

- vi. Procurement exercises
- vii. Significant Weaknesses established from the Annual Assurance process
- viii. Audit Reports
- ix. Knowledge and experience of public sector risks from the Principal Risk Consultant
- x. Data Quality and Integrity
- xi. Programme Management Office monthly report

# Residual CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

#### Very High 5 1, 3, High 4 5 M 8 2,9,10,11 6,7 Medium 3 Ρ Α С Low 2 Very Low 1 1 2 3 5 Very Low Medium High Very High Low LIKELIHOOD

Note 2. Categorised under the PESTLE methodology as published in the Hammersmith & Fulham Risk Standard. Compliant with Audit Commission/ ALARM/IRM/CIPFA best practice.

\* Derived from Deloitte's Assurance Framework 2007/2008

